PCC Deceived March 4,1994 & 4:50 p.m.

ORIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RECEIVED
2	
3	Before the MAR - 8 1994 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
4	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF: MM DOCKET NO. 93-176
7	RICHARD RICHARDS
8	Sierra Vista, Arizona
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE OF HEARING: February 23, 1994 VOLUME: 2
	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D. C. PAGES: 168-245

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MAR - 8 1994

_	MAR - 8 1994
1	Before the
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
3	
4	In the matter of:
5	;
6	RICHARD RICHARDS INC.) MM Docket No. 93-176
7	Sierra Vista, Arizona)
8	
9	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to Notice before Judge Richard L. Sipple, Administrative Law
10	Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20554, in Courtroom 4, on Wednesday, February 23, 1994, at 10:00 a.m.
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	On behalf of Richard Richards:
14	GERALD P. McCARTIN, Esquire THOMAS SCHATTENFIELD, Esquire
15	Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin, and Kahn 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
16	Washington, D.C. 20036
17	
18	On behalf of Mass Media Bureau:
19	ROBERT ZAUNER, Esquire Mass Media Bureau
20	2025 M Street Suite #7212
21	Washington, D.C. 20554
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX	ζ	
2				Page No.
3	Opening Statement By	Judge Sipple		170
4				
5			m C	
		EXHIBI		
6	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>Identified</u>	Received	Rejected
7	Joint Exhibit No. 1	172	176	
8		70 101	100	
9	Richard Richards Ex. Richard Richards Ex.		183 184	
9	Richard Richards Ex.		186	
10	Richard Richards Ex.		191	
	Richard Richards Ex.		194	
11	Richard Richards Ex.		195	
	Richard Richards Ex.	R8 196	197	
12	Richard Richards Ex.		199	
	Richard Richards Ex.		202	
13	Richard Richards Ex.		204	}
1.4	Richard Richards Ex.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	206	į
14	Richard Richards Ex.		207	
15	Richard Richards Ex. Richard Richards Ex.		208 210	
13	Richard Richards Ex.		210	i
16	Richard Richards Ex.		214	
10	Richard Richards Ex.		216	
17	Richard Richards Ex.		218	i
	Richard Richards Ex.		219	
18	Richard Richards Ex.		220	
	Richard Richards Ex.		221	
19	Richard Richards Ex.		224	İ
	Richard Richards Ex.	R24 225	227	1
20	Richard Richards Ex.		230	
	Richard Richards Ex.		232	
21	Richard Richards Ex.		233	
	Richard Richards Ex.		235	
22	Richard Richards Ex.	R29 237	239	
23				
24				
25	Hearing Began: 10:00	0 a.m.	Hearing Ended:	12:20 p.m.

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE SIPPLE: Let the record reflect that all counsel who were present when we -- when we recessed on the 7th of December are present today and, according to my schedule, there were basic -- there were three items of business that we want to clear up today.

First would be the unqualified consideration as evidence of the Bureau's Exhibits 6, 7, and 8. Those exhibits have been received in evidence and my review of the transcript shows there were some -- my main concern was that Mr.

Schattenfield or Mr. McCartin had not seen the exhibits before as exhibits and that there might be some question with respect to them having a basis to move to strike those exhibits, and I have received no motions. So, except for impeachment purposes -- which I precluded those exhibits and, and Mr. Zauner represented that he was not offering them for impeachment purposes. So, except for impeachment purposes. Exhibits -- the Bureau's Exhibits 6, 7, and 8 are in the record for all purposes.

Now, second, there was to be a stipulation that counsel had been working on since, I guess, since late December, and I understand from an off-the-record discussion that that is -- or that, that type evidence is not, not quite ready. Am I correct in that?

MR. ZAUNER: Well, there are actually two pieces of evidence that we're talking about. One is a stipulation, and,

1	and that stipulation I believe we are now ready to offer. But
2	there was also a, a supplement statement of Richard Richards
3	and that is supplement statement is, is what we are still
4	discussing.
5	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. What I would like to do
6	is, so we could move this, this proceeding along, is to get
7	the evidence in that's ready to come in, that is, the stipula-
8	tion. Would the Bureau be willing to sponsor that stipula-
9	tion? I think this basically was your, your issue, if I'm not
10	mistaken here. Right?
11	MR. ZAUNER: Yeah. Well, I, I think it would come
12	in from, from both of us. It's a stipulation both Parties
13	join in, in the stipulation. That's the nature of a stipu-
14	lation.
15	JUDGE SIPPLE: Right. I understand that. But, but
16	I do
17	MR. ZAUNER: I
18	JUDGE SIPPLE: It has to be marked as something.
19	MR. ZAUNER: Yes.
20	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, I have it labelled as
21	Joint Exhibit 1.
22	JUDGE SIPPLE: Fine.
23	MR. ZAUNER: Fine.
24	JUDGE SIPPLE: Fine.
25	MR. McCARTIN: Shall I identify it for the record

1	and
2	JUDGE SIPPLE: Are you prepared to do that now at
3	this point? Have it let's have it identified. Let's have
4	the reporter mark it and let's move it in.
5	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: Yeah. I'd like to see the final
6	copy. I know we discussed it.
7	MR. McCARTIN: Okay.
8	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: I think we, we were all
9	(Pause.)
10	JUDGE SIPPLE: Okay. Let's, let's keep this
11	let's for Mr. Schattenfield, let's, let's keep this on,
12	on, on the proper level here. Mr. McCartin, would you provide
13	the
14	MR. McCARTIN: Yes.
15	JUDGE SIPPLE: reporter with the appropriate
16	number of copies and, and you, in the meantime, identify it
17	for the record?
18	MR. McCARTIN: Let the record reflect that I am
19	handing the reporter two copies of a document titled Joint
20	Exhibit 1, Stipulation. The exhibit is itself a one-page
21	document. I ask that it be marked for identification.
22	JUDGE SIPPLE: Okay. The reporter will so mark that
23	document for identification.
24	(Whereupon, the document referred to
25	as Joint Exhibit No. 1 was marked for

1	identification.)
2	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, would you like a copy?
3	JUDGE SIPPLE: Please. If I may, yes.
4	MR. McCARTIN: Let the record reflect that I'm also
5	handing a copy to Mr. Zauner.
6	MR. ZAUNER: Thank you.
7	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, as previously discussed,
8	this exhibit is being offered into evidence as a joint exhibit
9	and so I hereby move it into evidence.
10	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. And the purpose for this
11	evidence is can you just give a brief statement as to what
12	the purpose is in terms of relevancy?
13	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, this was grew out of
14	the Bureau's desire to call a rebuttal witness after the
15	previous hearing session to address the amount of usable
16	marijuana that the plants grown by Mr. Richards would have
17	generated. And after discussions between the Bureau and us,
18	we have come up with this stipulation to avoid the need to
19	have the Bureau's expert testify, us cross-examine the expert,
20	and perhaps us also provide our own expert. So, it basically
21	was an effort to expedite the process.
22	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. It, it seems having
23	seen it now for the first time, it seems to me that this is
24	evidence of a technical nature, which will tie in, however,
25	with proposed findings.

1 MR. McCARTIN: Yes, it will, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE SIPPLE: And it should assist me, as I see it 3 here. 4 MR. McCARTIN: Right. 5 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, may, may I just make a 6 statement concerning --7 JUDGE SIPPLE: Yes. 8 MR. ZAUNER: -- this stipulation for the record? 9 The stipulation looks quite simple and, and straightforward, 10 but actually it was the product of, of a great deal of effort on the part of Mr. Richards' attorneys and myself. 11 12 would just like the record to reflect that in reaching this 13 stipulation we considered a number of things. Among those was 14 testimony offered by a Dr. Elsohly, E L S O H L Y, from the 15 University of Mississippi's Research Institute of 16 Pharmaceutical Sciences, Health Sciences Research Division, 17 School of Pharmacy. We also considered in the -- in this --18 reaching this stipulation a June 1992 Drug Enforcement 19 Administration study of cannabis yields. Also in reaching the 20 stipulation we considered the Federal sentencing guidelines 21 and certain statements contained therein as to the quantity of 22 marijuana, usable marijuana, that could be obtained from an 23 average plant. We also considered certain learned treatises 24 on the subject of marijuana growing in, in reaching this 25 determination.

So, the, the stipulation that we have reached is --1 2 doesn't reflect all that went into it, but it was the result 3 of, of a great deal of effort, I think, on the part of both of 4 us to reach something that would be acceptable to both sides 5 in, in this proceeding. 6 JUDGE SIPPLE: Mr. McCartin? 7 Your Honor, one clarification. MR. McCARTIN: 8 Dr. Elsohly to whom Mr. Zauner referred was not a consultant 9 to Mr. Richards. He was the sponsor of the DEA study that Mr. 10 Zauner referred to, and his testimony as evidence in tran-11 scripts of other court proceedings which we obtained was used 12 as a basis for some of the information in the stipulation. 13 But as a technical matter, he was not a consultant to us and 14 didn't have any official relationship. And I, I state that 15 for, for the benefit of Dr. Elsohly. I think we would desire 16 that that be made clear. 17 JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. So, he didn't focus on 18 specific evidence in this case? But you feel that the evidence that he has based opinions on is sufficiently comparable 19 20 to make his conclusions appropriate to here, at least in terms 21 of the preparation of the stipulation? Is that -- my under-22 standing correct? 23 MR. ZAUNER: We, we utilized testimony that he had 24 provided in other court proceedings. We also utilized this

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

DEA study of which he was -- I'm not sure whether he was a

25

1	cosponsor or not, but which he certainly put his imprimatur on
2	in, in reaching the determination that we did as to the quan-
3	tities. The, the quantities stated in here and, and the facts
4	stated in here are, are specific to, to this proceeding. I,
5	I, I would not want to necessarily say that they would apply
6	to any, any other Government proceeding. This is the result
7	of, of a give and take between the Parties to, to reach a, a
8	mutually agreeable set of facts for the purposes of this
9	proceeding.
10	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. But that's my concern
11	is reliability, and I, from what you have represented and what
12	Mr. McCartin is concurring in, I feel that this is reliable
13	for purposes of making findings in this case. I would ask,
14	however, in submitting proposed findings which involves this,
15	this evidence, this stipulation evidence if you would, at
16	least by way of footnote, repeat what you did what you
17	stated here today in terms of explaining the, the basis for
18	these conclusions. I mean, one footnote would be sufficient
19	so that I can cite to it. As well as this transcript.
20	Very well, then. The Joint Exhibit No. 1,
21	Stipulation, a one-page document, is received in evidence at
22	this time as the Joint Exhibit No. 1.
23	(Whereupon, the document marked for
24	identification as Joint Exhibit No. 1
25	was received into evidence.)

1	JUDGE SIPPLE: Now, there's a I want to stay on
2	track with what we can accomplish today. There are, I be-
3	lieve, Exhibits Nos. 2 through 27 which I am broadly charac-
4	terizing in the nature of sworn declarations attesting to Mr.
5	Richards's character in the community.
6	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: Before we get to that, there was
7	one other open matter, and I think Mr. Zauner's agreed to a
8	stipulation with respect to no outstanding no violations
9	reflected by the Mr. Richards' record in operating his low-
10	power television station.
11	COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Could you share the
12	mike? I couldn't
13	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: Well
14	COURT REPORTER: You're on the record, sir. I'm
15	sorry.
16	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: Why, why don't you have Mr.
17	McCartin do it. It's right in front of him.
18	JUDGE SIPPLE: Well, you can share the microphone.
19	That's all right, Mr. Schattenfield. It's just a question of
20	so that the reporter is picking up what's being said.
21	MR. McCARTIN: All he said is another open matter is
22	the stipulation with regard to Mr. Richards' record with the
23	FCC concerning violations, and Mr. Zauner has recently agreed
24	that there are no such violations, that Mr. Richards' in his
25	operation of his low-power television station has a clean

1	record at the FCC and elsewhere no, not elsewhere. We
2	didn't, we didn't go that far. I'm sorry. It's the FCC
3	record which is clean and we, we know of no other violations
4	in any other place with regard to the stations. I guess
5	that's not the subject of the stipulation, why elsewhere.
6	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. Well, where do we stand
7	on this then, Mr. Zauner? What is it that you're agreeing to
8	agree to?
9	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor. I, I checked with the
10	Low Power Branch of the FCC to to determine whether there
11	had been any Complaints or anything else filed with regard to
12	Richard Richards, and they told me they were unaware of any.
13	JUDGE SIPPLE: That's that certainly is probative
14	of a conclusion that there have been no violations as far as
15	the FCC is concerned with respect to those stations. I'll
16	accept that stipulation.
17	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, before we move into the
18	other exhibits, one other cleanup matter that you had men-
19	tioned at the last hearing, which was the Statement of Proof
20	of Publication pursuant to the Commission's Rules. That has
21	been filed today, separately by pleading, and that should
22	close the close that issue.
23	JUDGE SIPPLE: It's being filed today by, by plead-
24	ing. Fine. Okay. Thank you for picking up on that, Mr.
25	McCartin.

1	MR. McCARTIN: If I could also just correct your
2	statement that we had Exhibits R2 through 27. I believe we
3	also have Exhibits 28 and 29 which we intended to offer. And
4	Exhibit 27 was not of the type broadly characterized as, as,
5	as community witnesses. Instead I can introduce these as,
6	as we go along, but I just wanted to make the record clear
7	that there are two other exhibits that are not of the nature
8	you described.
9	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. I, I was trying to give a
10	very broad definition, but let's I, I appreciate your
11	pointing that out and we'll have to just take these either one
12	at a time or in categories. How do you want to proceed on
13	these then, Mr. McCartin?
14	MR. McCARTIN: Well, Your Honor, I, I think it
15	probably makes sense to deal first with the exhibits that are
16	statements of members of the community. And we have previous-
17	ly, the Bureau and, and us, exchanged copies of these exhib-
18	its. And, and the Bureau, I guess, can speak for itself, but
19	I, I believe it has satisfied itself that the that there's
20	no need for cross-examination, indeed have waived the right to
21	cross-examine these people, so that the exhibits can come in
22	without that necessity.
23	JUDGE SIPPLE: That's been my understanding. You
24	confirm that, Mr. Zauner?
25	MP %AINED. Ves Your Honor I have contacted at

random a number of these witnesses and spoken to them on the 2 telephone. And in the course of my conversations, I've become 3 convinced that if they were called here to testify that they 4 would testify as stated in their statements. Now, I've only 5 sampled the group. I expect that that would be true of all of 6 So, I will not require them to be present for purposes 7 of cross-examination. I'm not waiving any other objections I 8 may have, but I am waiving the right to have a sponsoring 9 witness. 10 JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. I'm, I'm, I'm suffi-11 ciently familiar with these, with these proposed exhibits to 12 agree that they -- cross-examination does not seem to be 13 necessary for considering -- for the reliability -- testing the reliability of, of this evidence. So, it's just a ques-14 15 tion, then, of relevance. 16 So, where can we start with, Mr. McCartin? 17 start with Exhibit 2 and -- do you -- does the -- do you have 18 copies for the reporter? MR. McCARTIN: Yes, I do, Your Honor. We -- let me 19 20 identify for the record Richard Richards' Exhibit R2, which is 21 titled, "Statement of Raymond H. Atchinson," A T C H I N S O 22 It is a two-page document, and let the record reflect that I'm handing the reporter the original executed version of this 23 24 and one copy.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

The reporter will so mark

JUDGE SIPPLE: Very well.

25

1	that, then, as Richard Richards Exhibit No. 2 for
2	identification.
3	(Whereupon, the document referred to
4	as Richard Richards Exhibit No. R2
5	was marked for identification.)
6	MR. McCARTIN: And I also, Your Honor, will hand you
7	a copy and the Bureau a copy of this exhibit.
8	JUDGE SIPPLE: Okay. Do you have any objection?
9	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor, I do. First of all,
10	I'm going to object to, to this exhibit and to the other to
11	the extent that they include information relevant to the
12	meritorious nature of the station's programming. And in, in
13	this statement I would object to the, looking at the last full
14	paragraph, the first and second sentence of that paragraph.
15	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: Which I'm sorry. The
16	MR. ZAUNER: That's
17	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: last full paragraph
18	MR. ZAUNER: The last
19	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: starting on
20	MR. ZAUNER: full one. It begins with, "As for
21	Channel 33"
22	JUDGE SIPPLE: Mr. McCartin?
23	MR. McCARTIN: I Your Honor, I think the issue of
24	meritorious programming has been dealt with already in this
25	proceeding in your rulings. Consistent with your rulings at

the previous hearing session, we would not propose to offer any statements in this exhibit is evidence of, of the meritorious programming of the station. It, it simply is one of a group of facts that comprise the package that each of these witnesses are testifying about concerning Mr. Richards' good character, the fact that he has rehabilitated himself, and that as part of that effort is providing a valued service to the people in the community.

JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. I am going to -- I, I see your point, Mr. Zauner. I'd say Mr. Zauner is technically correct. But in light of the, the brevity of this statement, in light of Mr. McCartin's expression of an understanding -- a clear understanding of my earlier ruling, I would not expect to see that evidence in proposed findings. And should it creep in, the Bureau would be in the position to point out its inappropriateness or nonrelevance. I'm going to -- and, and, in addition to that, there is -- the paragraph really does address two issues. Again, it's trying to express positive things with respect to Mr. Richard -- Mr. Richards, and I certainly can draw the distinction between that purpose and an inappropriate purpose, which would be the programming, the merits of the programming.

So, I'm going to overrule the objection and I'm going to receive Exhibit 2 into evidence in -- at this time as written, that is, the Statement of Raymond Atchinson.

rked for lichards
into
. 11100
reflect
copy of a
ement of
ro-page
ion.
e I have
eporter
or
ferred to
No. R3
on.)
ct on the
torious
ith, about
" and
<u> </u>
,
o respond

1	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, I, I would respond the
2	same way I responded to the previous objection. We, we will
3	not rely on, on this material to support a point of meritori-
4	ous programming in mitigation; rather, this is part of the mix
5	of information that formed the basis for this witness and the
6	other witnesses' opinions about Mr. Richards character and
7	contribution to the community.
8	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. Well, this the focus
9	on this is, is much clearer, to me anyway, comes through
10	clearer to me than the, the earlier statement. And in this
11	one, Mr. Zauner has the best of the argument. This is evi-
12	dence that's it's, it's clearly only going in one direc-
13	tion; that is the meritorious nature of the programming. So,
14	I am going to strike paragraphs I'm going to well,
15	paragraphs one and paragraphs two remain in, and I'm striking
16	paragraph three, four, and five. Paragraph three starting
17	with that phrase, "My family and I view Mr. Richards'
18	Station" That is being stricken. And I'm leaving in the
19	entire second page.
20	Your next one? Oh. I'm sorry. Let me make the
21	ruling.
22	With except for the matters that I have ordered
23	stricken, Richards No. 3 for identification is now received in
24	evidence as Richards Exhibit 3.
25	(Whereupon, the document marked for

1	identification as Richard Richards
2	Exhibit No. R3 was received into
3	evidence.)
4	MR. McCARTIN: Let the record reflect that I'm
5	handing the reporter the original and one copy of the document
6	titled, "Richard Richards' Exhibit R4, Statement of Dwight
7	Collins." It is a two-page document and I ask that it be
8	marked for identification.
9	JUDGE SIPPLE: The reporter will so mark that
10	document as Richards Exhibit 4 for identification.
11	(Whereupon, the document referred to
12	as Richard Richards Exhibit No. R4
13	was marked for identification.)
14	MR. McCARTIN: And I hereby move it into evidence.
15	JUDGE SIPPLE: Mr. Zauner?
16	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor. I would object to the
17	portion beginning in the second full paragraph, the second
18	sentence thereof, "I believe Mr. Richards is doing" down to
19	the end of that paragraph. And I would also object to the
20	last four lines of the last paragraph on the page, beginning
21	with the words, "Christian broadcasting" and ending with
22	the words, "positive influences." on the last line on page
23	one. Also, on page two I would object to the well, let,
24	let me change that, Your Honor. I would go from "Christian
25	broadcasting is so important" through page two to the end

1	of that paragraph, the last sentence of which, "I was ready to
2	take my life, but thank God TBN was there!"
3	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. Mr. McCartin?
4	MR. ZAUNER: And, and
5	JUDGE SIPPLE: I'm sorry.
6	MR. ZAUNER: One other.
7	JUDGE SIPPLE: Finish.
8	MR. ZAUNER: I would object to the first clause in
9	the next paragraph, "As a result of my finding God through
10	TBN"; and then also the following paragraph beginning with the
11	words, "I trust you will be able to see the value of" to,
12	to the end of that paragraph, which is the letters, "TBN."
13	JUDGE SIPPLE: Well, I'm going to permit some
14	editorial freedom on this. I will grant your motion with
15	respect to that paragraph that begins, "Christian broadcasting
16	is so important" down to that phrase, "but thank God TBN
17	was there! " on page two. So, that paragraph I'm going to
18	strike based on my earlier ruling. Everything else remains,
19	however. And subject to that, that language which has been
20	stricken, Exhibit 4 is now received in evidence.
21	(Whereupon, the document marked for
22	identification as Richard Richards
23	Exhibit No. R4 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	MR. McCARTIN: Let the record reflect that I am

1	handing the reporter the original and one copy of the document
2	titled, "Richard Richards' Exhibit R5, Statement of Albert N.
3	Dubois. That's D U B O I S. It is a two-page document. I
4	ask that it be marked for identification.
5	JUDGE SIPPLE: The reporter will so mark that
6	document as Richards Exhibit 5 for identification.
7	(Whereupon, the document referred to
8	as Richard Richards Exhibit No. R5
9	was marked for identification.)
10	MR. McCARTIN: Hereby move it into evidence.
11	JUDGE SIPPLE: Mr. Zauner?
12	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor. I object to the
13	paragraph beginning with the words, "My wife and I," and
14	that's a little over halfway down the first page, through the
15	end of the exhibit.
16	JUDGE SIPPLE: Well, I will permit again, I, I
17	could Mr. McCartin, I don't mean to cut you off on this,
18	but to be consistent with my earlier rulings I would strike
19	that one paragraph, "My wife and I are keen" down to the
20	word, "degrading." But everything else remains as, again,
21	it's, it's the broader statements of editorial nature I'm
22	going to let allow to stay in.
23	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, could, could I just point
24	out that the last paragraph is seems to fall within the
25	category of it's going directly to the station rather than

to, to Mr. Richards' character. 2 JUDGE SIPPLE: I understand that, but it's just, 3 it's just, it's just one line and it's, it's, it's what the 4 witness would want to say. It's not relevant, I agree. 5 it's kind of in that middle ground. Certainly technically you're correct, Mr. Zauner, so I will strike it. 6 7 MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, and I, just, just for the 8 record, I, I --9 JUDGE SIPPLE: Yes? 10 MR. McCARTIN: -- I will not continue to respond to 11 Mr. Zauner's objections or at least your rulings on them, 12 accept that they're consistent with what you said before, but 13 I don't want my silence to be, silence to be construed as, as 14 acquiescence. I, just for the record, I -- to just speed 15 things along. If I have something to say about a particular ruling, I'll pipe up. 16 17 JUDGE SIPPLE: I, I, I appreciate your telling me 18 that way. I, I assume you would if -- but that this is good 19 to have this clear on the record. I do want to -- I mean, do 20 you, do you really -- do you have a position that you can 21 state in terms of how you feel you're prejudiced by, by this language being stricken? I'm trying to do it in such a way so 22 23 that the, the thrust of what the witness is saying with re-24 spect to Mr. Richards' character stays with the case. These

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

25

are really technical --

1	MR. McCARTIN: Oh, I
2	JUDGE SIPPLE: objections.
3	MR. McCARTIN: I, I believe that you're, as far as
4	the witness's statements as to Mr. Richards' character, that,
5	that, you know, your, your rulings are preserving the evidence
6	with respect to his character. So, I, I don't think there has
7	been so far any prejudice with respect to evidence concerning
8	his character.
9	JUDGE SIPPLE: All right. That's the that's,
10	that's when I really want to hear from you, when you feel that
11	I've stepped over the line.
12	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: If I could say something? I
13	know
14	JUDGE SIPPLE: Sure, Mr. Schattenfield. Would you
15	go ahead.
16	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: I, I understand that these are
17	difficult decisions and but I think a person is what they,
18	what they do. It's not meritorious programming. Now, you
19	might disagree with what he does, but that's what he does.
20	And this man is saying how he accepts it. This tells who this
21	man is. We might like this man. We might dislike this man.
22	We're not going to say or, or we'll never argue that he
23	should get a renewal because he's broadcasting Christian
24	programming or Mohammed programming. But it happens he is
25	putting on TBN. It happens he is putting on Christian broad-

casting. And this is him. This is what he does. And, and therefore it shows the man, not -- by no stretch of the imagi-2 3 nation can I, can I fathom that we would write findings say-4 ing: he has been providing a meritorious service to the 5 people of Sierra Vista, et cetera, because he puts on 6 Christian programming. But here's a person in the community, 7 and it runs throughout this thing, these, these various state-8 ments, this is what he does and this is how the people look at 9 it. And I don't see how you can separate the man from what he 10 does. And I think it fleshes out the picture. You might like 11 that picture or not, but that's the man. Not, not the -- not 12 meritorious programming. This is him. And that's why I think 13 much of this should go in. But that's, that's my position. 14 JUDGE SIPPLE: Well, what I think I'm doing, my 15 rulings, I think, at least I'm trying to make them really 16 consistent with, with the, with the broad thrust of your 17 statement, Mr. Schattenfield, and it, it's not going to be 18 lost even by not considering what I've excluded thus far. 19 is, it is not going to be lost as to who this person is in 20 terms of what he's trying to accomplish for that community in 21 the broadcasting nature of things. It's just that when these 22 paragraphs come down to the very specific things that really 23 are, are puffing his broadcasting, I'm just going to have 24 to go with it. I mean, Mr., Mr. Zauner is right. After all, 25 he's just technically correct, in my judgment.

1	All right. So, what I have stricken in No., in
2	No. 5 is the, the fourth paragraph in its entirety and the
3	sixth, which is the last paragraph on page two, in its entire-
4	ty. Okay. On now we have the so, that's received in
5	evidence subject to those strikings. 5 is now in evidence.
6	(Whereupon, the document marked for
7	identification as Richard Richards
8	Exhibit No. R5 was received into
9	evidence.)
10	JUDGE SIPPLE: Okay. Your next exhibit.
11	MR. McCARTIN: Let the record reflect that I am
12	handing the reporter an original and one copy of an exhibit
13	titled, "Richard Richards' Exhibit R6, Statement of Leona
14	Erber." It's a one-page document and I ask that it be marked
15	for identification.
16	JUDGE SIPPLE: The reporter will so mark that
17	document as Richards Exhibit 6 for identification.
18	(Whereupon, the document referred to
19	as Richard Richards Exhibit No. R6
20	was marked for identification.)
21	MR. McCARTIN: I hereby offer Exhibit R6 into
22	evidence.
23	JUDGE SIPPLE: Any objection?
24	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor. In the third full
25	paragraph, the language beginning with the second sentence,